IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ANGELA LYNN GILES,

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:05-CV-866

٧.

(JUDGE CAPUTO)

NCO FINANCIAL, INC. and NCC FINANCIAL SYSTEMS,

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM

Before the Court is Defendant NCO Financial Systems, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss the Complaint Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) or, in the Alternative, for a More Definite Statement Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(e). (Doc. 7.) Although Plaintiff did not file a brief in opposition to the motion, the Court will undertake a merits analysis of the motion to dismiss because Plaintiff is a *pro se* litigant. *Stackhouse v. Mazurkiewicz*, 951 F.2d 29, 30 (3d Cir. 1991) (prohibiting dismissal for failure to file opposing brief).

In deciding a motion to dismiss, the Court should consider the allegations in the Complaint, exhibits attached to the Complaint, and matters of public record. See Pension Benefit Guar. Corp. v. White Consol. Indus., Inc., 998 F.2d 1192, 1196 (3d Cir. 1993). When considering a Rule 12(b)(6) motion, the Court's role is limited to determining whether Plaintiff is entitled to offer evidence in support of the claims. See Scheuer v. Rhodes, 416 U.S. 232, 236 (1974). The Court does not consider whether Plaintiff will ultimately prevail. See id. In order to survive a motion to dismiss, Plaintiff must set forth information from which each element of a claim may be inferred. See Kost

Case 3:05-cv-00866-ARC Document 9 Filed 07/27/05 Page 2 of 3

v. Kozakiewicz, 1 F.3d 176, 183 (3d Cir. 1993). Defendant bears the burden of

establishing that the Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

See Gould Elecs. v. United States, 220 F.3d 169, 178 (3d Cir. 2000). The Court of

Appeals for the Third Circuit has emphasized a policy of liberally interpreting pro se

complaints, so Plaintiff's Complaint will be held to a less stringent standard than formal

pleadings drafted by lawyers. See Weaver v. Wilcox, 650 F.2d 22, 26 (3d Cir. 1981);

Henderson v. Fisher, 631 F.2d 1115, 1117 (3d Cir. 1980).

Defendant argues that Plaintiff has failed to allege any facts which support a claim

of any kind. Instead of setting forth her claims in the Complaint, Plaintiff stated: "Please

see typed - complaint." (Doc. 1 at 2.) No such typed complaint was included in any of

Plaintiff's submissions to the Court. In light of Plaintiff's pro se status, the Court finds that

the interests of justice require that Plaintiff be afforded the opportunity to clarify the facts

upon which her claims are premised. Accordingly, Defendant's motion will be granted

insofar as it seeks a more definite statement pursuant to Rule 12(e) of the Federal Rules

of Civil Procedure.

An appropriate Order follows.

July 27, 2005

Date

/s/ A. Richard Caputo

A. Richard Caputo

United States District Judge

2

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ANGELA LYNN GILES.

Plaintiff NO. 3:05-CV-866

(JUDGE CAPUTO)

NCO FINANCIAL, INC. and NCC FINANCIAL SYSTEMS.

٧.

Defendants.

ORDER

NOW, this <u>27th</u> day of July, 2005, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Defendant NCO Financial Systems, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss the Complaint Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) or, in the Alternative, for a More Definite Statement Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(e) (Doc. 7) is **GRANTED** in part and **DENIED** in part:

- (1) Defendant's motion is **GRANTED** insofar as it seeks relief pursuant to Rule 12(e).
 - (a) Plaintiff shall file an amended complaint within twenty (20) days of the date of this Order clarifying the claims, facts supporting the claims, and the relief requested.
 - (b) If Plaintiff does not file an amended complaint within the allowed time, the Clerk of the Court shall close this case.
- (2) Defendant's motion is otherwise **DENIED**.

/s/ A. Richard Caputo
A. Richard Caputo
United States District Judge